



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPH 150 Section: A 3

Course Title: Intro. to Ethics

Instructor: Jordan Kokot

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

You were very knowledgeable and passionate about this course which helped to engage my attention and helped me take notes that I was able to understand afterwards.

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

I didn't like how our essays were based on readings and topics never discussed prior because there were various times in which I misunderstood the hard readings which then effected my grade. This is unfair to those who have no prior experience with philosophy.

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

Thank you!



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH 150 Section: A3
Course Title: Introduction to Ethics
Instructor: Jordan Kokot
Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

- made the topic interesting
- showed a lot of knowledge about the topic

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

- get off topic a lot
- not that great at connecting points to the main argument.
- I feel that the grading was a little harsh. the readings are hard and these are open to multiple interpretations not just one.

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPH 150 Section: A3

Course Title: Intro to Ethics - Discussion

Instructor: Jordan Koxot

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Rating scale for Course Evaluation: Poor (1-5) and Excellent (1-5) with corresponding circles for marking.

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

Rating scale for Faculty Evaluation: Poor (1-5) and Excellent (1-5) with corresponding circles for marking.

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Yes/No response scale for questions 16-19 with Y/N circles.

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

Jordan was enthusiastic about philosophy and did a good job engaging the class. I was impressed at his ability not to get discouraged when no students offered comments. I also really appreciated his comments and style of grading our papers.

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH 150 Section: A3

Course Title:

Instructor: Jordan Kokodot

Semester/Year:

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS** of the course and of the Instructor:

**WEAKNESSES** of the course and of the Instructor:

I thought we got the topic alot in discussion.

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

It was hard to get an A on papers, but the feedback on each paper was good!

I loved this class.





Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH 150 Section: A3
Course Title: Intro to Philosophy
Instructor: Jordan
Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

The discussions helped immensely. Jordan was very good at explaining things & making the topics interesting and relevant to real life.

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

The lectures were very dry. The content & structure made it hard to pay attention.

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

Thanks D



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH 150 Section: A3
Course Title: Intro to Ethics
Instructor: Jordan Kokot
Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

- > He is very knowledgeable in the material
- > He is very passionate
- > He is very willing to help.
- > Has lots of respect for students.

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

> Very good TF.



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH ISO Section: A3
Course Title: Intro to PHOS
Instructor: Jordan Lee
Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Rating scale for Course Evaluation: Poor (1-5) and Excellent (1-5) with corresponding circles for marking.

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

Rating scale for Faculty Evaluation: Poor (1-5) and Excellent (1-5) with corresponding circles for marking.

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Yes/No response scale for questions 16-19 with corresponding circles for marking.

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

Great guy. Very articulate and has an active presence.  
Really want you to understand the material.  
Give this man a PhD, tenure, 24 horses and 72 virgins  
he is the shit.

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

Nil.

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

Break free of that cage my friend.



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH 150 Section: AB
Course Title: Philosophy
Instructor: Jordan Nokot
Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

- Jordan, you are very good at reaching out to students, and talking with them after class
- Even emailing me back ~~that~~ immediately was a shock to me, as most TAs don't answer me at all

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

- ~~most~~ people won't read the stories they ~~read~~ do that they don't have to write essays in (double negative I know)

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**





Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPHISO Section: A3

Course Title: Intro to Ethics

Instructor: Jordan Kokot

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

- \* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPHISO Section: A3

Course Title: Intro to Ethics

Instructor: Katsafanas

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

- Great instructor



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH 150 Section: A3

Course Title: Intro to Ethics

Instructor: Jordan Kokot

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

- \* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

good at explaining topics  
relate topics to relevant things in today's world

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

takes a long time to get papers back  
don't really know what grades in this class are

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH 150 Section: A 3

Course Title: INTRO TO ETHICS

Instructor: JORDAN KOKOT

Semester/Year: SPRING 2016

Instructions

- \* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS** of the course and of the Instructor:

**WEAKNESSES** of the course and of the Instructor:

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

For those who don't understand readings / lecture, discussion does not help.





Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH 150 Section: A 3

Course Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Instructor: Jordan Kokof

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

- \* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS** of the course and of the Instructor:

**WEAKNESSES** of the course and of the Instructor:

- ~~Too~~ Many Readings; Should do less Readings & more depth with certain ones

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH 150 Section: A3
Course Title: Intro to ethics
Instructor: Jordan
Semester/Year: Spring 16

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

- 16. Are you a philosophy major? (Yes/No)
17. Is this your first philosophy course? (Yes/No)
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future? (Yes/No)
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement? (Yes/No)

Please turn over and complete comments section

Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

great TF, know just about everything about  
the course

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

I think there should be more pressure  
on the students to do the reading,

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

Thank for the cookies man,  
enjoyed your discussion

Peace



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPHISO Section: A3

Course Title: Intro to ethics

Instructor: Jordan Kokot

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

- \* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor
16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

- nice
- would email updates and help with papers 'if needed'.

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

- really did not enjoy the course topics so it made it hard to enjoy any aspect of the class.

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**

- The information and topics covered was very boring.



Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CASPH 150 Section: A3

Course Title: Intro to Ethics

Instructor: Jordan Kokot

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions
\* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Rating scale for Course Evaluation: Poor (1-5) and Excellent (1-5) with corresponding circles for marking.

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

Rating scale for Faculty Evaluation: Poor (1-5) and Excellent (1-5) with corresponding circles for marking.

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Yes/No rating scale for questions 16-19 with corresponding circles for marking.

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

Jordan rocks

- Enthusiastic
- Kind
- Very helpful comments on papers
- Fair

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**





Department of Philosophy
Course / Faculty Evaluation



Course Number: CAS PH 150 Section: A 3

Course Title:

Instructor: Jordan Koltoff

Semester/Year: Spring 2016

Instructions

- \* Use #2 Pencil, Blue or Black Ink Pen only
\* Make DARK Marks
\* Example: 1 2 3 4 5

Course Evaluation

- 1. Relevance of assigned readings [(1) low to (5) high]
2. Difficulty of course [(1) easy to (5) difficult]
3. Workload in course [(1) light to (5) heavy]
4. Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)
5. Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)
6. Usefulness of assignments and papers
7. Overall course rating

Faculty Evaluation

- 8. Effectiveness in explaining concepts
9. Ability to stimulate interest in subject
10. Encouragement of class participation
11. Fairness in grading
12. Promptness in returning assignments
13. Quality of feedback to students
14. Availability outside of class
15. Overall rating of instructor

- 16. Are you a philosophy major?
17. Is this your first philosophy course?
18. Would you take another philosophy course in the future?
19. Are you taking this course to fulfill a requirement?

Please turn over and complete comments section



Please comment on:

**STRENGTHS of the course and of the Instructor:**

explained concepts very well.  
interacted well with the students and gave good feedback on papers

**WEAKNESSES of the course and of the Instructor:**

**GENERAL COMMENTS:**